

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/735,483 | 12/12/2003 | Mark N. Smyth | 11399 | 1834 |
| 26890 7590 11/30/2007 JAMES M. STOVER | | | EXAMINER | |
| TERADATA CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD, WHQ5 DAYTON, OH 45479 | | | FLEISCHER, MARK A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 4143 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/30/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/735,483 SMYTH ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Mark A. Fleischer 4143 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/12/2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Art Unit: 4143

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is in reply to the application filed on 12 December 2003.

2. Claims 1-6 are currently pending and have been examined.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 4 contains a key at the bottom referring to MODEL 1, MODEL 2 and SKU that are not mentioned or referenced in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 4, Line 27 contains the phrase: "Each products is..." and should read, "Each product is..." Page 7, Line 26 contains the vague and indefinite phrase: "... and other information derived therefrom". Page 9, line 25 contains the phrase: "... will know be described..." instead of "will now be described." Page 10, lines 8-9 contain the phrase: "how well the Model is representing the products that are currently in the mode." The Examiner assumes the last word "mode" should be "model". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 4143

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation in line 6 reads
 ...weekly seasonal product factor... should read ...weekly seasonal product factors....
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the use of the terms 'best match' in the limitations of these claims is vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. §101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. These claims constitute only functional descriptive material. "In this context, 'functional descriptive material' consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component....[this type] of 'descriptive material' [is] nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994)

(discussing patentable weight of data structure limitations in the context of a statutory claim to a data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product- by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory)." MPEP §2106.01. In the instant claims, this functional descriptive material consists of the manipulation of abstract ideas and mathematically or algorithmically based modifications of data. Although these claims may produce a tangible result, i.e., a demand forecast, they are nevertheless non-statutory as neither the claims or the specification recites any embodiment relating to the use of these ideas in a computer or stored in a computer-readable medium or other technology that "permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized." MPEP §2106.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bunn (1999).
- 12. Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Art Unit: 4143

Claim 1:

13. Bunn (1999) as shown, discloses and/or describes the following limitations:

storing within a data warehouse (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 431, first sentence; "...product

re-ordering and inventory management is now well developed in terms of data base

 $design...", \ and \ p.442: \ "large-scale \ analyses \ still \ need \ to \ be \ undertaken \ to \ develop \ robust$

grouping and estimation facilities within the very large database software which characterise

commercial practice in this area.") historical weekly sales data for said plurality of products

(see at least Bunn (1999) p.432, para 1: "Alternatively, Withycombe (1989) ('WGSI')

combines the historical data for all products within a group by adding their unit demands for

each time period." Bunn further describes different time periods, specifically at the top of page 432: "...are revised less frequently than level and trend estimates in normal adaptive

forecasting methods (yearly rather than monthly or weekly)..." Emphasis added.).

defining a plurality of seasonal models, each one of said seasonal models modeling an

annual sales pattern for a group of products associated with said one of said seasonal

models (see at least Bunn p.432: "This group seasonal index is subsequently used for all

items belonging to the group.")

• comparing the historical weekly sales data for one of said plurality of products with each one

of said seasonal models to determine a best match between said one of said plurality of

products and said seasonal models; (see at least Bunn (1999) p.433 2nd full para.: "First, we

use the business classes as given by the company. Second, we form groups of products

within the business classes, using cluster analysis. Finally, the whole set of time series is then considered to create larger statistical classes of products, to compare with the business

classes." Emphasis added.) and

associating said one of said plurality of products with the seasonal model (see at least Bunn

p. 432, section 2: "The key task in using grouped seasonal indices is the actual formation of

the groups. The business reasons for grouping items [...] may not be optimal for the

statistical purpose of improving short-term forecasts. A statistical grouping would be

expected to improve forecast performance, to be intrinsic to the forecasting method, but in practice probably would need to be maintained alongside the company's traditional hierarchical product line management." Emphasis added. The action of 'statistical grouping', in effect, entails the actions of comparing and associating time series and models and thereby establishes an equivalence to the limitations above.) determined to provide the best match with said one of said plurality of products. (see at least Bunn (1999) p.439: "The average linkage between-groups method was implemented to join clusters and the Euclidean distance was used to measure 'nearness'." Here, 'nearness' is used to establish a 'best match'.)

Claim 2

- 14. Bunn (1999) as shown, discloses and/or describes the following limitations:
 - each one of said seasonal models comprises a series of weekly product group seasonal factors, each one of said weekly product group seasonal factors representing a ratio between:
 - a total historical sales volume for all products in the group of products (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 432: "Alternatively, Withycombe's (1989) ('WGSI') combines the historical data for all products within a group by adding their unit demands for each time period. Then, the new aggregated series' history is used to estimate the seasonal indices.") represented by said one of said seasonal models during a one week period: and
 - an average weekly sales volume for all products in the group of products represented by said
 one of said seasonal models, said average weekly sales volume being determined over a
 period of fifty-two consecutive weeks (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 439: "In order to
 deseasonalize the data, the classical decomposition technique of multiplicative ratio-tomoving averages was implemented." Examiner notes that determining the average weekly
 sales volume 'over a period of fifty-two consecutive weeks' is a moving average. Examiner
 further notes that the term 'decomposition' in the forecasting arts can refer to a seasonality

Art Unit: 4143

index wherein the numerator of the index is the value of an element in a time series and the denominator is a moving average of those values.).

Claim 3:

- 15. Bunn (1999) as shown discloses and/or describes the following limitations:
 - calculating a series of weekly product seasonal factor for said one of said plurality of products
 (see at least Bunn p. 431, abstract: "This paper addresses the issue of estimating seasonal
 indices for multi-item, short-term forecasting, based upon both individual time series
 estimates and groups of similar time series." Emphasis added.), each one of said seasonal
 models comprises a series of weekly seasonal factors, each one of said weekly product
 seasonal factors representing a ratio between:
 - a historical sales volume for said one of said plurality of products during a one week period (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 432: "Alternatively, Withycombe's (1989) ('WGSI') combines the historical data for all products within a group by adding their unit demands for each time period. Then, the new aggregated series' history is used to estimate the seasonal indices."); and
 - an average weekly sales volume for said one of said plurality of products determined over a period of at least fifty-two consecutive weeks (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 439: "In order to deseasonalize the data, the classical decomposition technique of multiplicative ratio-to-moving averages was implemented." Examiner notes that determining the average weekly sales volume 'over a period of fifty-two consecutive weeks' is a moving average. Examiner further notes that the term 'decomposition' in the forecasting arts refers to a seasonality index wherein the numerator of the index is the value of an element in a time series and the denominator is a moving average of those values.).; and
 - comparing said weekly product seasonal factors for said one of said plurality of products with
 the weekly product group seasonal factors for said seasonal models for corresponding weeks
 to determine a best match between said one of said plurality of products and said seasonal
 models (see at least Bunn p. 432, section 2: "The key task in using grouped seasonal indices

Art Unit: 4143

is the actual formation of the groups. The business reasons for grouping items [...] may not be optimal for the statistical purpose of improving short-term forecasts. A statistical grouping would be expected to improve forecast performance, to be intrinsic to the forecasting method, but in practice probably would need to be maintained alongside the company's traditional hierarchical product line management." Emphasis added. The action of 'statistical grouping', in effect, entails the actions of comparing and associating time

series and models and thereby establishes an equivalence to the limitations above.

Claim 4:

16. Bunn as shown discloses and/or describes the following limitations:

a database of historical weekly demand data (see Bunn p.431-2: "This is because seasonal
indices are revised less frequently ... (yearly rather than monthly or weekly)..." Emphasis
added.) for a plurality of products (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 431, first sentence: "product
re-ordering and inventory management is now well developed in terms of data base
design...", and p.442: "large-scale analyses still need to be undertaken to develop robust
grouping and estimation facilities within the very large database software which characterise
commercial practice in this area." Emphasis added.);

a plurality of seasonal models, each one of said seasonal models modeling an annual sales
pattern for a group of products associated with said one of said seasonal models (see at least
Bunn (1999) p.433 2nd full para: "First, we use the business classes as given by the
company. Second, we form groups of products within the business classes, using cluster
analysis.); and

means for comparing the historical weekly sales data for one of said plurality of products with
each one of said seasonal models to determine a best match between said one of said
plurality of products and said seasonal models and associating said one of said plurality of
products with the seasonal model determined to provide the best match with said one of said
plurality of products (Bunn (1999) p.433, para. 2: "Finally, the whole set of time series is then

Art Unit: 4143

considered to create larger statistical classes of products, to compare with the business

classes." Emphasis added.).

Claim 5:

17. Bunn (1999) as shown, discloses and/or describes the following limitations:

each one of said seasonal models comprises a series of weekly product group seasonal

factors, each one of said weekly product group seasonal factors representing a ratio

hetween:

a total historical sales volume for all products in the group of products represented by said

one of said seasonal models during a one week period (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 432;

"Alternatively, Withycombe's (1989) ('WGSI') combines the historical data for all products

within a group by adding their unit demands for each time period. Then, the new aggregated

series' history is used to estimate the seasonal indices."); and

an average weekly sales volume for all products in the group of products represented by said

one of said seasonal models, said average weekly sales volume being determined over a

period of fifty-two consecutive weeks (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 439: "In order to

deseasonalize the data, the classical decomposition technique of multiplicative ratio-to-

moving averages was implemented." Examiner notes that determining the average weekly

sales volume 'over a period of fifty-two consecutive weeks' is a moving average. Examiner

further notes that the term 'decomposition' in the forecasting arts refers to a seasonality index

wherein the numerator of the index is the value of an element in a time series and the

denominator is a moving average of those values.).

Claim 6:

18. Bunn (1999) as shown discloses and/or describes the following limitations:

calculating a series of weekly product seasonal factor for said one of said plurality of products

(see at least Bunn p. 431, abstract: "This paper addresses the issue of estimating seasonal

indices for multi-item, short-term forecasting, based upon both individual time series

estimates and groups of similar time series." Emphasis added.), each one of said seasonal

Application/Control Number: 10/735,483

Art Unit: 4143

models comprises a series of weekly seasonal factors, each one of said weekly product seasonal factors representing a ratio between:

- a historical sales volume for said one of said plurality of products during a one week period (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 432: "Alternatively, Withycombe's (1989) ('WGSI') combines the historical data for all products within a group by adding their unit demands for each time period. Then, the new aggregated series' history is used to estimate the seasonal indices." Emphasis added.); and
- an average weekly sales volume for said one of said plurality of products determined over a period of at least fifty-two consecutive weeks (see at least Bunn (1999) p. 439: "In order to deseasonalize the data, the classical decomposition technique of multiplicative ratio-to-moving averages was implemented." Examiner notes that determining the average weekly sales volume 'over a period of fifty-two consecutive weeks' is a moving average. Examiner further notes that the term 'decomposition' in the forecasting arts refers to a seasonality index wherein the numerator of the index is the value of an element in a time series and the denominator is a moving average of those values.); and
- comparing said weekly product seasonal factors for said one of said plurality of products with the weekly product group seasonal factors for said seasonal models for corresponding weeks to determine a best match between said one of said plurality of products and said seasonal models (see at least Bunn p. 432, section 2: "The key task in using grouped seasonal indices is the actual formation of the groups. The business reasons for grouping items [...] may not be optimal for the statistical purpose of improving short-term forecasts. A statistical grouping would be expected to improve forecast performance, to be intrinsic to the forecasting method, but in practice probably would need to be maintained alongside the company's traditional hierarchical product line management." Emphasis added. Examiner notes that the action of 'statistical grouping', in effect, entails the actions of comparing and associating time series and models and thereby establishes an equivalence to the limitations above.)

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/735,483

Art Unit: 4143

Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US 6,834,266 B2, (12-2004) Kumar, et al.
 - US 6,609,101 B1, (8-2003) Landvater, et al.
 - US 6.976,001 B1, (12-2005) Levanoni, et al.
 - US 5,299,115, (3-1994) Fields, et al.
 - D. Bunn and A. Vassilopoulos, "Using group seasonal indices in multi-item short-term forecasting", 1993, Elsevier, International Journal of Forecasting, Vol. 9; pages 517-526.
 - Chamin L. Jain, "Forecasting error: How to deal with it", Fall 2001, The Journal of Business Forecasting Methods & Systems, Vol. 20, No. 3, p.2.
 - Amanda Loudin (2000, May). Forecasting the future. Warehousing Management, 7(4), 34-36.
 Retrieved November 15, 2007, from ABI/INFORM Global database. (Document ID: 54050176).
 - Richard Withycombe, "Forecasting with combined seasonal indices" (1989) International Journal of Forecasting, Vol. 5, pp. 547-552.
 - Mahesh Kumar, "Error-based Clustering and Its Application to Sales Forecasting in Retail Merchandising", Ph.D. dissertation, Sloan School of Management, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Sept 2003.
 - B. Sani and B. Kingsman, "Selecting the Best Periodic Inventory Control and Demand Forecasting Methods for Low Demand Items" (1997) The Journal of the Operational Research Society, Vol. 48, No. 7 pp.700-713.
 - D. Bunn, "A Bayesian Approach to the Linear Combination of Forecasts" (1975) Operational Research Quarterly, Vol. 26, No. 2, part 1, pp. 325-329.
 - SAS/ETS User's Guide, Version 8 (1999) by SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA. "Chapter 26: Choosing the Best Forecasting Model".
 - N. Swanson and T. Zeng, "Choosing Among Competing Econometric Forecasts: Regression Based Forecast Combination Using Model Selection" Tech Report. Pennsylvania State University, August 1997.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,483

Art Unit: 4143

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Dr. Mark A. Fleischer whose telephone number is 571.270.3925. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James A. Reagan whose telephone number is

571 272 6710

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

Mark A. Fleischer, Ph.D.
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 4143
Friday, November 16, 2007
/Mark A Fleischer/ Examiner, Art Unit 4143
/JAMES A REAGAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4143